



(1)

2087.16wp

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO.2087 OF 2016**

Yuvraj s/o Santrao Bhole & ors

PETITIONERS

**VERSUS**

The State of Maharashtra & ors

RESPONDENTS

Mr N.P. Patil Jamalpurkar, Advocate for the petitioners;  
Smt. G.L. Deshpande, A.G.P. for respondents/State

**WITH**

**WRIT PETITION NO.2089 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.2091 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.2096 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.3005 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.3006 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.3007 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.3008 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.3009 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.3010 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.3011 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.3012 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.3013 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.3014 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.3015 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.3016 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.3017 OF 2016  
WRIT PETITION NO.3018 OF 2016**



(2)

2087.16wp

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE &  
R.G. AVACHAT, JJ.**

**DATED : 7th JUNE, 2019**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Perused the order of this Court dated 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2016. The Division Bench of this Court granted four weeks time as a last chance to file reply. Today, learned A.G.P. submitted before this Court that parawise remarks are received by the office of the Government Pleader. The petitioners are before this Court with the prayer of directions to extend benefits to them like other employees of *Balgruhas* in the State of Maharashtra, as well as benefits and privileges enjoyed by the employees of Children Health Society doing similar work.

2. It is the submission of Mr. Patil, learned Counsel for the petitioners that there is a judicial decision on the issue of entitlement and the said issue is already decided. The petitioners are only seeking extension of these benefits who are similarly situated



(3)

2087.16wp

with those petitioners whose entitlements are admitted and orders are passed. It is also submission of Mr. Patil, learned Counsel for the petitioners that the State Government has taken a decision in view of the judgment of this Court.

3. Considering the submission of Mr. Patil, learned Counsel as well as the order of this Court dated 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2016, we expect an affidavit in reply on behalf of responsible officers of the State who are party respondents to the petition, namely, respondent Nos. 2,3 and 4. We are surprised to see casual approach of the State authorities in not filing the reply in this Court for considerable long period in spite of the order of this Court dated 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2016. We only hope that now better sense would prevail over the authorities and grant two weeks time, as a last chance to learned A.G.P. to file reply.



(4)

2087.16wp

4. Stand over to 21<sup>st</sup> June, 2019.

Authenticated copy of this order be supplied to  
learned A.G.P.

[R.G. AVACHAT, J.]

[PRASANNA B. VARALE, J.]

Tupe