



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**911 WRIT PETITION NO.1654 OF 2026**

Pramod Govindrao Sudame and another

*VERSUS*

The State Of Maharashtra and others

...

Mr. Gajanan S. Shete, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondents/State.

...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &  
NEERAJ P. DHOTE, JJ.**

**DATE : 17 APRIL 2026**

**ORDER :**

. Present petition has been filed for following reliefs :-

“B) By issuing writ of mandamus or any appropriate writ, order or direction in like nature, to direct the respondents to re-fix the pay-scale of petitioners thereby including additional increments granted by respondents in view of (Annexure-B) order of this Hon’ble High Court in Writ Petition No.9967 of 2021 dated 06.10.2022.

C) By issuing writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction in like nature, the



respondents may be directed to pay/release monetary benefits of Additional/Advance increments for “Excellent Work” granted to petitioners in view of (Annexure-“B”) with consequential benefits to the petitioners by fixing reformative sixth pay scales and for that purpose issue necessary direction or order to the respondents and to refund the amount recovered from the petitioners with interest and consequential benefits thereon.”

2. Heard learned Advocate for the petitioners.
3. After hearing learned Advocate for the petitioners, it appears that the petitioners have directly approached this Court taking into consideration the decisions of this Court in the earlier matters. In fact, when the petitioners are seeking writ of mandamus, they should approach the concerned authority first. We, therefore, **dispose of** the writ petition by directing petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 to approach respondent Nos. 4 and 5 respectively by filing representations within a period of two weeks. Though we are not issuing notices to respondent Nos.4 and 5 and are only directing them to consider the representations of the petitioners, we direct respondent Nos.4 and 5 to consider the representations that would be filed by the respective petitioners within a period of eight weeks after receipt of such representations.



4. The decision to be taken on its own merits, however, at the same time, the Government Resolutions as well as the earlier decisions of this Court be considered by respondent Nos.4 and 5.

[ NEERAJ P. DHOTE ]  
JUDGE

[ SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI ]  
JUDGE

scm