



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 66 OF 2026

**JYOTIRAM BALIRAM RANDIVE
VERSUS
GITANJALI JYOTIRAM RANDIVE AND OTHERS**

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Sachin Jaiprakash Salgare

...

CORAM : MEHROZ K. PATHAN, J.

DATE : 11th MARCH 2026

PER COURT :

1. The office note shows that the notice to Respondent No.4 was returned unserved with the remark that Respondent No.4 was not found at the given address. However, learned Counsel for the Petitioner, Mr. Salgare, submits that Respondent No.4 is already a party in the D.V. proceeding, and therefore the present petition can be heard even without his presence, as the contesting Respondent No.1 has already been served and is being represented through Advocate Mr. Rodge.

2. The learned Counsel Mr. Rodge for Respondent No.1, is absent.

3. The learned Counsel also places on record the receipt of the amount of maintenance deposited for the month of February. The same is taken on record.



4. Stand over to 30.03.2026.
5. Interim relief to continue till the next date.

MEHROZ K. PATHAN
JUDGE

NATEEB..