



**IN THE JUDICATURE OF HIGH COURT AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

924 WRIT PETITION NO. 1254 OF 1995

Punjabai Hona Javare And Others.

VERSUS

Babu Samser Tadvī And Others.

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Shri V.j.dixit.

Advocate for Respondents No.1A to 1I : Mr. V. B. Patil

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

Dated : April 01, 2026

PER COURT :

1. The learned Counsel for the parties submit that, in view of the subsequent changes in law, and without prejudice to their respective rights and contentions, the matter may be remitted to the Tahsildar, Yawal for fresh adjudication.
2. In light of the above consensus, and leaving questions of fact and law open, the petition is disposed of. The order passed by the Commissioner, Nashik is sustained, and the remand order is maintained. The concerned authority shall take a decision in accordance with law.
3. The authority concerned to decide the matter within a period of one year from the date of production of this order. The parties undertake to extend full cooperation to the concerned authority for expeditious disposal of the matter.
4. In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)

vj gawade/-.