



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 272 OF 1993

Karbhari Patilbuwa Varpe
& others ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others ... Respondents

.....
Mr. S.T. Shelke, Advocate for petitioner
Mr. V.G. Shelke, A.G.P. for respondent No. 1
Mr. V.J. Dixit, Senior Advocate holding for
Mr. S.K. Shinde, Advocate for respondent Nos. 5, 6-A to 6-E

.....
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATED : 22nd t JANUARY , 2015

PER COURT :

1. Learned Advocates for the respective sides, while advancing their submissions, point out that the Consolidation Scheme which were settled for practically fourteen years, has been unsettled on an application filed by the respondent.

2. The order dated 18-07-1989 has led to introducing corrections in the revenue records and as a consequence of which, the share of the land allotted to the petitioners has been



reduced to the advantage of respondent Nos. 5 and 6. It is seen from the said order dated 18-07-1989 that such correction has been carried out on the ground that there was an arithmetical mistake in the revenue records.

3. The learned Advocate for the petitioners submits that the above stated fact situation necessitates that the State should file an affidavit so as to explain away the contentions of the petitioner and justify the issuance of the said order dated 18-07-1989.

4. Learned A.G.P. seeks three weeks time to file his reply.

5. In the light of the above and since this petition has been instituted on 16-12-1992, learned A.G.P. shall file the reply of the respondent / State authorities on or before the 12th day of February, 2015. It be noted that extension of time in filing reply shall not be entertained. Stand over to 12-02-2015.

(**RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.**)