

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (L) NO.39233 OF 2025

Malan Baburao Badekar

Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

Mr.Ajay Saravde with Rajesh Sarawade, Tejaswi Thorat, Shivaji Shinde, Abhinav Kumar, Dhanshree Vaity, Ajay Sarawde, Rajesh Sarawde, Lalita Sawant for Petitioner.

Mr.ManishUpadhye, AGP, for State.

Mr.P.G.Lad with Ms.Sayali Apte and MrMurlidharan Kalathil for MHADA.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
AARTI SATHE, JJ.

DATE: 7th January 2026

P.C.

1. We have heard learned counsel for the parties on the backdrop of the earlier orders. We find that in the affidavits placed on record there is no disclosure as to how many service tenements existed and/or as to how many service tenants who were inducted in the tenements of the B.D.D Chawls have been considered eligible for allotment of permanent alternate accommodation. This would include all those employees of the State Government who were occupying the tenements other than those tenements including those categorized as bathrooms which, in our opinion, prima facie were erroneously categorized as bathrooms, as observed in the earlier order.

2. Let an affidavit to that effect be placed on record along with the list having details of all such service tenants on or before 12th January 2026. Copy of the same be served on the advocate for Petitioner well in advance.
3. Stand over to **14th January 2026 at 3.00 p.m.**
4. Time to carry out the amendment is extended by two days.

(AARTI SATHE, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)