



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

Writ Petition (L) No.36960 of 2025

Santacruz Mangal Murti SRA

CHS Ltd.

... Petitioner

V/s.

The Competent Authority and

Land Manager

... Respondents.

Mr. Yash Momaya a/w.Mr. A.P.Steenson, Mr. Utkarsh Sanadi,
Advocate i/b. APS Law Associates for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Panickar a/w. Vinay Nair for respondent No.5.

Ms. Ravleen Sabharwal a/w. Aaurushi Yadav for respondent-SRA.

Mr. Dipesh Siroya, AGP for the State.

CORAM : M.S. KARNIK &
S.M. MODAK, JJ.

DATE : 16th April 2026.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Against the order impugned, an appropriate efficacious remedy is to prefer an appeal before the AGRC under Section 35 of the Slums Act. Faced with this difficulty, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that an appeal would be preferred before the AGRC within a period of two weeks.



3. If such an appeal is filed, the AGRC to hear and decide the appeal on its own merits and in accordance with law expeditiously and preferably within a period of 10 weeks from the date of filing of the appeal.

4, Grievance was made by learned counsel for the petitioner that the Developer-respondent No.5 has unauthorisedly parted with the possession of the rehab component and put occupants in possession. It is the submission of learned counsel for the Developer that developer was permitted to sell some units from the free sale component and it is in respect of such premises, the occupants have been put in possession. If the petitioner has any grievance, it is open for the petitioner to raise such grievance before the AGRC which the AGRC will undoubtedly consider.

5. Keeping all contentions open, writ petition is disposed of.

(S.M.MODAK,J.)

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)