



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO.4188 OF 2025**

Pushpa Park Siddhivinayak  
Chawl SRA CHS Ltd. ... Petitioner

Versus

Slum Rehabilitation Authority and ors. .... Respondents

\*\*\*\*\*

Mr. Mukesh Vashi, Senior Advocate a/w Adv. Jaineel Vashi i/b. M. P. Vashi & Associates, for the petitioner.

Adv. Akshay Naidu i/b. Adv. Vishwanath Patil, for respondent No.1-SRA.

Adv. Jagdish G. Aradwad (Reddy), for respondent No.2-SPPL.

\*\*\*\*\*

**CORAM : M. S. KARNIK &  
S. M. MODAK, JJ.**

**DATE : 17<sup>th</sup> MARCH, 2026**

**P.C. :**

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 17<sup>th</sup> April 2026.
3. In addition to the Court's notice, private notice on the respondent No.3 is permitted.
4. Mr. Akshay Naidu, learned counsel waives service of notice for respondent No.1. Mr. Reddy, learned counsel waives service of notice for respondent No.2.

5. It is the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner that the issue raised in this petition is squarely covered by the decision of this Court in **Labh Siddhi Co-Operative Housing Society Limited vs. Slum Rehabilitation Authority and Others**<sup>1</sup>. Learned counsel invited our attention to paragraph 7 of the order in support of his submission that the same issue is involved.

6. Two weeks' time is granted to respondent Nos.1 and 2 to file the affidavit-in-reply.

7. Respondent No.3 is put to notice that if there is no appearance on their behalf on the next date despite service of notice, this Court proposes to make an endeavour to hear the petition finally at this stage, considering the narrow controversy involved.

8. List the matter on 17<sup>th</sup> April 2026.

(S. M. MODAK, J.)

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)

---

1 2024 SCC OnLine Bom 2824