



legha

7_ial_32389_2025.docx

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO.32389 OF 2025
IN
SUIT (L) NO.32381 OF 2025

Nilesh Ravindra Haldankar

...Applicant/Plaintiff

V/s.

TV 9 Marathi and Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Mayur Khandeparkar with Mr. Pralhad Paranjpe, Mr. Ativ Patel, Ms. Viloma Shah, Mr. Harshad Vyas & Mr. Viraj Raiyani i/b. M/s. AVP Partners for the Plaintiff.

Ms. Priya Vaity for Defendant Nos.1 & 2.

Ms. Tanvi Rana with Mr. C. Keswani i/b. M/s. Economic Laws Practice for Defendant No.3.

CORAM: SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.

DATED: 17 OCTOBER 2025.

P.C.:

1) Heard Mr. Khandeparkar, the learned counsel appearing for the Plaintiff. He would invite my attention to response dated 6 October 2025 from Defendant No.1, in which following statement is made:-

4. Solely based on your client's assurances, and only to safeguard his well-being as expressed at the time, our organization in good faith made the telecast private. Since then, there has been no further dissemination or public sharing of the content. Additionally, upon your further request, we also broadcast your client's clarification, thereby demonstrating our commitment to fairness, responsibility and sensitivity.

- 2) Mr. Khandeparkar would submit that the statement in the letter dated 6 October 2025 that “made the telecast private” would essentially mean that the subscribers of Defendant No.1 on You Tube channel can view the telecast.
- 3) Ms. Vaity, the learned counsel appears on behalf of Defendant Nos.1 and 2 and seeks time to file reply. She makes a statement that since the telecast is already made private the same cannot be viewed by any member of the public including subscribers to channel of Defendant No.1. However, she makes a statement that in the event channel is still viewable by subscribers of Defendant No.1 necessary steps shall be taken forthwith for ensuring that no subscriber of Defendant No.1 can view the impugned telecast. She further submits that Defendant Nos.1 and 2 do not intend to publish any more stories concerning the Plaintiff till the next date of hearing.
- 4) Ms. Rana, the learned counsel appears on behalf of Defendant No.3 and seeks time to file reply. Defendants would be at liberty to file Affidavit-in reply to Interim Application within a period of three weeks. Liberty is also granted to the Plaintiff to file Affidavit-in-rejoinder within a period of one week thereafter.
- 5) Leave is granted to the Plaintiff to amend the Plaint in terms of the draft amendment. Amendment to be carried out forthwith. Reverification is dispensed with.
- 6) List the Interim Application for consideration of ad-interim injunction on **26 November 2025**.

[SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.]