

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 3439 OF 2019**

Anjapuli Mayavan ... Petitioner

vs.

Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai
and others ... Respondents

**AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 761 OF 2020
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION (LODGING) NO. 2825 OF 2021
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 761 OF 2020**

Mr. Kaustubh Gidh for petitioners in both the petitions.

Mr. Rohit Gaikwad, i/b. Komal Punjabi for respondent No.1-MCGM in both the petitions.

Ms. Roopdaksha Basu a/w. Ms. Heenal Wadhwa, i/b. The Law Point for respondent No.2 in WP/3439/19.

Ms. Anupama Pawar, AGP for respondent Nos.3 to 5 in WP/3439/19.

Ms. Nazia Sheikh, AGP for respondent Nos.2 to 4 in WP/761/20.

Mr. Sameer Tambe, Colony Officer, M(East) Ward, MCGM.

Mr. Pravin N. Parate, Rent Collector, M(East) Ward, MCGM.

Mr. Santosh M. Kadam, Officer, MCGM.

**CORAM : MANISH PITALE &
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ**

DATE : 05th FEBRUARY, 2026

P.C. :

. On 05.01.2026, this Court had directed the respondent-MCGM to apprise this Court about the manner in which the directions issued in the order dated 10.04.2019 had been complied with.

2. Today when the petition is called out for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for respondent-MCGM submits that certain officials are present in Court and a few documents are sought to be tendered across the bar. We refuse to accept the same.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners in both the petitions invites attention of this Court to the contents of Interim Application (Lodging) No.2825 of 2021 filed in Writ Petition No.761 of 2020, wherein the applicant/petitioner has stated the sequence of events, demonstrating that after reconstitution of Committee, as directed by this Court, certain proceedings were conducted. But, no final hearing was undertaken and that the matter has been languishing in the said condition at least from December 2020. In that light, the applicant/petitioner in the said interim application is praying for direction to the said respondent to reconstitute the Committee and decide the eligibility of the petitioners at the earliest.

4. We find that the respondent-MCGM has been wholly insensitive and recalcitrant in dealing with the genuine grievance of the petitioners. This is despite the directions issued by this Court, particularly in the order dated 10.04.2019.

5. In view of the above, the respondent-MCGM is granted time of two weeks, as a matter of last chance, to file a comprehensive affidavit in Interim Application (Lodging) No.2825 of 2021 in Writ Petition No.761 of 2020, so as to respond to the allegations made therein and to apprise this Court as to in what manner the grievance of the petitioners can be addressed at the earliest.

6. We make it clear that the affidavit will deal with the petitioners in both these petitions.

7. List both the petitions for further consideration on 24.02.2026, High on Board.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)