

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3521 OF 2022

Chhagan Dajiram Kale And Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The Municipal Corporation Of Greater
Mumbai And Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Sangharaj Rupwate i/b Shraddha Varhal for the Petitioners.
Ms. Shilpa Redkar for the Respondent/Corporation.

SNEHA
NITIN
CHAVAN

Digitally signed
by SNEHA
NITIN CHAVAN
Date:
2024.03.18
12:35:10 +0530

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
M.M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

DATE : 12 MARCH 2024

P.C. :

. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. According to the learned counsel for the Petitioners, though there is no impediment whatsoever in promoting the Petitioners, no decision has been taken.

3. The learned counsel for the Respondent/Municipal Corporation orally sought to contend that the instructions are that promotion has not been granted because of certain Writ Petitions which are pending before the learned Single Judge, arising from the orders passed by the Industrial Court. As to query whether the

Respondent/ Corporation has questioned the initial recruitment of the Petitioners and whether there are any interim orders operating in the Writ Petitions pending before the learned Single Judge, the learned counsel for the Respondent / Corporation is not aware.

4. The learned counsel for the Respondent/ Corporation seeks time to take instructions on the aspect whether the promotion can be granted to the Petitioners on their own merits, subject to the outcome of the petitions which are stated to be pending before the learned Single Judge.

5. Stand over to **18 April 2024** under the caption 'for Directions'.

(M.M. SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)