

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY AND ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.166 OF 2019
IN
COMMERCIAL SUIT NO.24 OF 2016

LAJWANTI J. PUNJABI AND OTHERS)...PETITIONERS

V/s.

LASARAM KASARAM PATEL AND OTHERS)...RESPONDENTS

Mr.Pradeep Havnur a/w. Aleema Bohra Advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr.A.N.Narula a/w. Ms.Meena Bhatta, Advocate for the Respondents
no.1 to 3.

CORAM : ABHAY AHUJA, J.

DATE : 30th APRIL 2025

PC. :

1. When the matter is called out, Mr.Narula, learned Counsel for the Respondents no.1 to 3 submits that the Respondents no.2 and 3 are present in Court, however, the Respondent no.1 could not remain present and undertakes to this Court to file an affidavit explaining the absence of the Respondent no.1 despite the orders of this Court without seeking leave of absence. Let the said affidavit be filed within a period of one week.

2. Mr.Havnur, learned Counsel, appears for the Petitioners and submits that the rejoinder has been served. Mr.Narula for the

Respondents points out that the rejoinder that has been served upon him recently is different from the rejoinder that was served earlier. Mr.Havnur to consider the same by the next date.

3. List on **23rd July 2025**.

4. Let all the three Respondents remain present in the Court on the next date, failing which, this Court will be constrained to pass appropriate orders including imposition of exemplary costs.

(ABHAY AHUJA, J.)