

V A Tikam.

VAISHALI
ANIL
TIKAM

Digitally signed
by VAISHALI
ANIL TIKAM
Date: 2025.02.12
15:47:26 +0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CHAMBER SUMMONS (L) NO. 1798 OF 2014

IN

EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 2569 OF 2015

Integro Finserv Private Limited

...Applicant

Versus

Satguru Roadlines And Anr.

...Respondents

Ms. Isha Palas i/b. D.S. Law for Applicant.

CORAM : R.I. CHAGLA J

DATE : 10 February 2025

ORDER :

1. By an order dated 18 December, 2014, this Court had granted ad-interim relief in terms of prayer clauses (b) and (g) of the Chamber Summons, which is for disclosure on oath by the Respondents/ Judgment Debtors. Thereafter, the present Applicant has sought substitution of the Original Claimant/Decree Holder with the Applicant and which Interim Application (L) No. 15721/2022 was allowed and the Applicant has been substituted as Claimant/Decree Holder in place of the Original Claimant/Decree Holder. The Respondent has failed to make an appearance and by the order

dated 10 July, 2024, this Court issued bailable warrant against Respondent No.1.

2. The service report dated 8 November, 2024 of bailable warrant shows that the bailable warrant of arrest issued against the proprietor of Respondent No.1 Harikishan Ramsharan Malhan has been returned unexecuted.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the Applicant has sought for fresh bailable warrant to be issued against Respondent No.1.

4. Having considered that the bailable warrant issued by this Court by order dated 10 July, 2024 has been returned unexecuted as per the said service report, the Registry is directed to issue a fresh bailable warrant in the sum of Rs.50,000/- against Respondent No.1 to be executed by the local police station, returnable on 17 March, 2025.

[R.I. CHAGLA J.]