

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 3039/2024

ALONGWITH

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 6263/2025

ALONGWITH

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 6066/2025

IN

COMMERCIAL EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 9/2021

SIYONA REALITIES PRIVATE LIMITED

..... APPLICANT

VS

JET BUILD DEVELOPERS AND 2 ORS.

..... RESPONDENTS

Adv. Suraj S. Ghogare for the Applicant.

Adv. Aditya Kanchan i/b. M/s. Lakshyavedi Legal for Mr.Rakesh Shah,
Mr.Sarju Shah and Mr.Sanjay Shah.

Mr.Vikrant Patil, representative for the applicant present.

CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 30 MARCH, 2026

P.C. :-

1) When the matter is called out, Mr.Omprakash Parihar, learned counsel for the judgment debtor is not present. Mr.Suraj Ghogare, learned counsel appearing for the applicant-decree holder submits that since Mr.Sanjay Shah in his oral examination had admitted that he is in possession of the Flat Nos. 1901, 2003 and

2004, all these three flats can be valued and for the said purpose Mr.Sanjay Shah should co-operate by opening the lock of the said three flats.

2) Since advocate for the judgment debtor is not present when the matter is called out, place this matter on board on **7 April, 2026** when this Court will further hear counsel Mr.Ghogare for the applicant and the advocate appearing for the judgment debtor. However, if the advocate for the judgment debtor chose to remain absent, this matter would be heard in his absence and will be decided on its own merits.

[RAJESH S. PATIL, J.]