

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

PRACHI  
PRANESH  
NANDIWADEKAR

Digitally signed  
by PRACHI  
PRANESH  
NANDIWADEKAR  
Date: 2025.07.04  
16:41:00 +0530

APPEAL (L) NO. 24173 OF 2024

IN

TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO.3196 OF 2023

Preeti Sharma Menon ... Appellant

*Versus*

Jagdish Chander Sampat Lal Sharma ... Respondent

---

**Mr. Asadullah Shaikh a/w Ms. Jyoti Badgujar, Aatif Pathan  
and S.U. Lakdawala for the appellant.**

---

CORAM : M.S. Sonak &  
Jitendra Jain, JJ.

DATED : 4 July 2025

P. C.:-

1. Heard learned counsel for the appellant.
2. Admit.
3. Expedited.
4. Learned counsel for the appellant points out that the testamentary petition has been dismissed on the sole ground that co-testator being alive, the appellant/legatee could not have filed a testamentary petition seeking letters of administration.
5. Learned counsel now states that though this may not have been a correct ground for dismissal of the testamentary petition, without prejudice and considering the fact that the co-testator is now 85 years old, the appellant proposes to

apply for amendment of the testamentary petition now that this appeal is admitted. He submits that if this Court allows the amendment, then, perhaps the objection raised upon which the impugned order will not survive and the co-testator would then pursue the writ petition. He pointed out that the Court fees of Rs.75,000/- have already been paid in the matter and, therefore, it would not be equitable to require the co-testator, who is 85 years old, to file a fresh petition.

6. As and when any application for amendment is filed, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

7. Appeal is disposed of in above terms.

**(Jitendra Jain, J)**

**(M.S. Sonak, J)**