

---

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION PETITION NO.837 OF 2018  
WITH  
ARBITRATION PETITION NO.911 OF 2018**

Bhupinder Singh Sahni

...Petitioner

Versus

Harjit Singh Sahni & Ors.

...Respondents

---

Appearance not received.

---

**CORAM: SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.**

**DATE : SEPTEMBER 9, 2025**

**ORDER :**

1. Rejoinder is taken on record.
2. Considering that this is a very old matter, it would be appropriate to consider and dispose of the Section 34 Petition one way or the other, which may then render the Section 9 Petition either unnecessary to consider or to be dealt with any variation.
3. Each of the Petitioner and Respondent Nos.1 and 2 as one unit, and Respondent No.4 as another shall take not more than **60 minutes** to present their say in the matter.

4. Towards this end, the parties shall file a short Note on Submissions, not exceeding five pages, along with page and case law references, focusing on the scope of Section 34 of the Act, and setting out the manner in which the points canvassed by them ought to be accepted by this Court.

5. It is made clear that the time commitments made above shall bind the parties, and such time shall be utilized at the discretion of the Learned Counsel for verbalizing the pivotal submissions, resting assured that the rest of their contentions from their Note on Submissions, would be dealt with by the Court.

6. With the aforesaid commitments, stand over to ***October 9, 2025***, for final hearing and disposal.

7. All actions required to be taken pursuant to this order, shall be taken upon receipt of a downloaded copy as available on this Court's website.

**[ SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.]**