

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY AND ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 1404 OF 2025

SUNITA PRADYUMAN BHANKHARIA )...APPLICANT

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

SUNITA PRADYUMAN BHANKHARIA )...PLAINTIFF

V/s.

AMIT PRADYUMAN BHANKHARIA AND OTHERS)...RESPONDENTS

Mr.Shanay Shah a/w. Mr.Shashwat Rai and Ms.Mrinali Dave i/by  
Keystone Partners, Advocate for the Applicant / Plaintiff.

Mr.Yazad Udawadia, Mr.Suraj Iyer i/by M/s.Ganesh & Co., Advocate for  
the Defendants no.1 and 2.

Mr.Ramachandran Narayanan i/by Narayanan & Narayanan, Advocate  
for the Defendant no.3.

CORAM : ABHAY AHUJA, J.

DATE : 22<sup>nd</sup> APRIL 2025

**PC.** :

1. Pursuant to order dated 17<sup>th</sup> March 2025, today when the matter is called out, Mr.Shanay Shah, learned Counsel, appears for the Plaintiff and submits that although reply and rejoinder have been filed, however, the Defendants no.1 and 2 have not made any disclosures with respect to prayer clause (a)(v) in their replies. Mr.Shanay submits that after the rejoinder was filed, a statement from the Depository

Participant obtained by the Plaintiff indicates that there are no shares in the account of the Defendant no.1.

2. Mr.Udwadia, learned Counsel, appears for the Defendants no.1 and 2 and seeks some time to take instructions and to file an appropriate additional affidavit in reply in the matter.

3. It is expected that the additional affidavit in reply would also make disclosures with respect to prayer clause (a)(v).

4. Accordingly, let the additional affidavit in reply be filed within a period of two weeks with copy to the other side. Rejoinder to the said affidavit in two weeks thereafter with copy to the other side.

5. List on **18<sup>th</sup> June 2025**.

6. The *status-quo* order referred to in paragraph 7(iii) of the order dated 17<sup>th</sup> March 2025 to continue until further orders.

**(ABHAY AHUJA, J.)**