

Digitally
signed by
PURTI
PRASAD
PARAB
Date:
2026.01.27
17:59:07
+0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2317 OF 2016

Municipal Corporation of Greater
Mumbai

...Petitioner

Versus

Ratnakar Gaikwad and Ors.

...Respondents

**ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1613 OF 2017
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1591 OF 2017**

Mr. A.Y. Sakhare, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. Rohit Gaikwad i/b Ms. Komal Punjabi for the Petitioner.

**CORAM: MANISH PITALE &
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ.**

DATE: 27th JANUARY 2026

P.C.

1. In these three Writ Petitions a common issue arises for consideration.
2. The record shows that while Respondents in Writ Petition No. 2317 of 2016 have been served and ad-interim relief in terms of prayer clause (b) is operating, due to failure in supplying spare copies notices could not be issued in Writ Petition No. 1613 of 2017 and Writ Petition No. 1591 of 2017, although ad-interim relief is operating in these two Petitions also.
3. In view of the above, issue fresh notice in Writ Petition No. 1613 of 2017 and Writ Petition No. 1591 of 2017 returnable on 25th February 2026.

4. Till the next date ad-interim relief shall continue to operate in all the three Writ Petitions.
5. All three Writ Petitions shall be listed together on the next date.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)