

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2905 OF 2016

SATISH
RAMCHANDRA
SANGAR

Ramesh Punja Shah

...Petitioner

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.

...Respondent

Mr.Rajesh Khobragade a/w Mr.Vinay Khobragade, Advocates for
Petitioner.

Smt.Madhura Deshmukh, AGP, for Respondent No.1–State.

Ms.Anjali Ghuge, Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 to 4–BMC/MCGM.

Mr.Darpan Gupta h/f Mr.Anoop Patil, Advocate for Respondent
No.6–SRA.

Ms.Apporva Thakre h/f Mr.Vikrant V. Parashurami, Advocate for
Respondent No.7.

CORAM : M.S.KARNIK &
S. M. MODAK, JJ.

DATE : 7th MAY 2026

P. C. :-

1. Learned counsel for Respondent No.6–SRA has placed on record
a copy of the communication dated 6th May 2026 issued by the
Executive Engineer – G / N Ward, SRA, Brihanmumbai which reads
thus:-

“In this case affidavit by E.E. (SRA) was filed on 30/01/2020.

As stated in the said affidavit no further permissions to both

the schemes (i.e. Ashiraward SRA CHS and Ram Shyam Krupa SRA CHS) will be granted by SRA to both the schemes till closer of opening in the compound wall. In view of this, for resolving of issue a meeting held at the office of the Executive Engineer on 24/04/2026 at 4:00 pm. All the parties i.e. representative of Developer, architect, members of both the societies were present at the meeting. Members of Ram Shyam SRA CHS submitted that they are using the same path before the rehabilitation of the slum. Developers representative submitted that Ram Shyam SRA CHS already has access from other end and society is using this path as it is shorter path. Ashirward society people and Mr Ramesh Punja Shah submitted that people from nearby area are also using the path and creating nuisance continuously.

After hearing all the parties the meeting was adjourned.

This office staff has visited the site and found that opening is still there in the compound wall which is not as per approved plan.

In view of above, developers of both the schemes are hereby directed to closed the said compound opening, which is not present in the approval plan immediately; failing which action as per MRTP Act will be initiated.”

2. Since the Developers of both the Schemes are directed to close the said compound opening, which is not present in the approval plan immediately; failing which action as per MRTP Act will be initiated,

nothing survives for consideration in the present Petition. The Slum Rehabilitation Authority to ensure that the order is complied with by deputing the concerned official / staff of the SRA for inspection within a period of 6 weeks. If action is not taken, further steps as per the Maharashtra Regional and Town Planning Act, 1966 (“**MRTP Act**”) shall be initiated by the SRA expeditiously.

3. In view of the stand taken, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

(S. M. MODAK, J.)

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)