

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION  
WRIT PETITION NO. 1885 OF 2016**

Mahendra D. Turakhia ... Petitioner  
vs.  
Registrar of Companies,  
Ministry of Corporate Affairs and others ... Respondents

**WITH  
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 121 OF 2014  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 1885 OF 2016**

Mahendra D. Turakhia ... Petitioner  
vs.  
Jain International Trade Organisation and others ... Respondents

None for petitioner.

Mr. D. P. Singh for respondent No.1-Union of India.

Adv. Mittal Munoth a/w. Ms. Anushka Jain for respondent No.2.

**CORAM : MANISH PITALE &  
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ  
DATE : 01<sup>st</sup> APRIL, 2026**

**P.C. :**

. In this petition, on 07.03.2026, we had passed the following order:

- ‘1. When this Petition is called out there is no appearance on behalf of the Petitioner.
2. The record shows that on earlier occasions also the Petitioner was not represented by Counsel.
3. It appears that the Petitioner is not serious about pursuing this Petition.

4. In that light, list this Petition under the caption “for dismissal” on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2026.’
  
2. Even today, when the petition is taken up for consideration, there is no appearance on behalf of the petitioner, while respondent Nos.1 and 2 are represented by counsel.
  
3. In view of the above, the petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.
  
4. Consequently, Contempt Petition No.121 of 2014 is also dismissed.

**(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J)**

**(MANISH PITALE, J.)**

*Priya Kambli*