

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 1195 OF 2022**

Mega Infrastructure Developers ...Petitioner
Versus
Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Akash Rebello a/w Mr. Bushra Sayed, Mr. Paras Gosar, Mr. Nadeem Sharma i/b V. Shukla & Associates for Petitioner.
Ms Poornima Kantharia A/w S. V. Tondwalkar i/b Ms Komal Punjabi for Respondent Nos.1 to 3- BMC.
Mr. Y. R. Mishra a/w Mr. Upendra Lokegaonkar for Respondent No.4.
Mr. Girish Paryani i/b A 2 G Legal for Respondent No.5.
Mr. Mohit Jadhav, Addl. GP for State Respondent No.6.

**CORAM : G.S. KULKARNI &
ARIF S. DOCTOR, JJ.**

DATE : 18th JUNE, 2025.

P.C:

1 As the entire dispute is in regard to an appropriate access which according to the petitioner needs to be provided for the petitioners Slum Rehabilitation Project, we are of the opinion that appropriate plan with the proposed realignment of the DP road providing access to the petitioner's project can be prepared and submitted to the Designated Officer of the Municipal Corporation, and if the need so arises, the Municipal Corporation shall forward the same to the State Government (Urban Development Department) for its approval, considering that it would be required to be taken into consideration in the context of the existing DP plan, which has been

approved and any minor modification in that regard whatever, if so necessary, for which the appropriate proceedings would be required to be adopted.

2 Thus, keeping open all the contentions of the parties, we adjourn the proceedings to enable the petitioner to do so, so that the exact road which is now proposed can be considered by the appropriate authorities.

3 Rejoinder affidavit is permitted to be placed on record on behalf of the petitioner.

4 Stand over to 25th June 2025, High on Board.

[ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.]

[G.S. KULKARNI, J.]