

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 651 OF 2025  
WITH  
INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 33354 OF 2025  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 651 OF 2025  
WITH  
INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 14364 OF 2025  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 651 OF 2025

Goregaon Siddharth Nagar Sahakari  
Grih Nirman Sanstha Limited

...Petitioner

Versus

Maharashtra Housing and Area  
Development Authority & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Piyush Deshpande a/w S. Dubey for Petitioner.

Mr. Anupama Pawar, AGP for the State.

Mr. Manisha Jagtap for the MHADA.

Mr. Mahesh Londhe a/w Netaji Gawade i/b. Mr. Sanjay Udeshi for Respondent-  
Contractor.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &  
AARTI SATHE, JJ.

DATE: 23<sup>rd</sup> DECEMBER 2025

P.C.

1. Not on board. Taken on board.
2. The application has been moved on behalf of the Respondent-  
Contractor, who was earlier represented by Mr. Gawade, seeking clarification of the



order dated 19th November 2025, insofar as paragraph 2 thereof is concerned, in paragraph 2, the following observation was made:-

“2. In this view of the matter the structural audit be undertaken and completed as expeditiously as possible and in any event on or before 15<sup>th</sup> January 2026.”

3. We do not find that any clarification of the aforesaid observation is required. We are not accepting the contention of the contractor that the structural audit would mean only an examination of the plaster. A structural audit is a well-known concept and pertains to the examination of the structural stability of the building, which is required to be carried out by VJTI. Accordingly, no clarification is warranted, including in the context of what has been observed by this Court in paragraph 1 of the said order. We direct VJTI to strictly comply with and complete the audit within a period of two months from today. The returnable date is accordingly extended by eight weeks.

(AARTI SATHE, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)