



no attachment by the Customs of the said premises as on date.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of Defendant Nos.2 and 3 confirms that they are not in possession. They state that the Applicant in Chamber Summons No.1592 of 2005 may be in possession although they are not concerned about the same. The Applicant is not a party before me. It is not necessary, therefore for me to make any observations regarding his rights.

4. In the circumstances, the order dated 2.3.2009 shall be implemented on or before 31.10.2009. It is clarified that the question of the Plaintiff obtaining the clarification from the Customs Authorities in writing no longer arises in view of what is stated above.