



2026:BHC-OS:6447

62. CONP/13/2024
Smita vs. M/s. Mehta

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 13 OF 2024

IN

SUIT NO. 39 OF 2015

Smita Milind Padgaonkar & Anr. .. Petitioners

V/s.

M/S. Mehta Developers & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Vaibhav Ghogare a/w Mr. Sarvesh Gawade i/b Mr. Sandip Ghogare for
Petitioners.

Mr. Sunny Shah a/w Mr. Viral Dilip Shukla, Ms. Priti Shukla, Mr. Rudra M.
Dani i/b Shukla and Associates for Respondents.

CORAM : FARHAN P. DUBASH, J.

DATE : 11th MARCH 2026

P.C.:

1. Pursuant to the last order dated 25th February 2026, Mr. Sunny Shah, learned Counsel who appears on behalf of the Respondents, on instructions from his clients, makes a statement that, presently, there are no flats lying unsold on a single floor between floors 11 to 25 which would satisfy the requirement of the two flats that are set out in the consent terms. This statement is noted.

2. To this, Mr. Vaibhav Ghogare, learned Counsel who appears on behalf of the Petitioners, points out that as per the search undertaken by the



2026:BHC-OS:6447

62. CONP/13/2024
Smita vs. M/s. Mehta

Petitioners on the igrmaharashtra.gov.in portal, there are several floors on which no sale agreement has been registered. He therefore submits that the oral statement made by the Respondents is incorrect.

3. Considering this, the Respondents are directed to file an Affidavit of its partner, complying with the requirements of the order dated 25th February 2026. It is clarified that the disclosure shall be made in respect of agreements that have been entered into with third parties and which have been registered as also non-registered.

4. Stand over to 12th March 2026 at 03:00 pm.

(FARHAN P. DUBASH, J.)