

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

APPEAL NO.45 OF 2024

IN

TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO.48 OF 1992

IN

PETITION NO.319 OF 1992

ANJALI TUSHAR ASWALE
Digitally signed
by ANJALI
TUSHAR
ASWALE
Date: 2024.06.20
22:41:29 +0530

Dr.Ravindra alias Satish Vithal
Rananavare (since deceased) & Anr

.. Appellants
(Orig.Plaintiffs)

Versus

Avinash V. Rananavare & Anr

.. Respondents
(Orig.Defendants)

Mr.H. T. Pawar, Advocates for the Appellants.

Mr.Avinash V. Rananavare, Respondent No.1 is present.

Mr.Sugandh Deshmukh, Advocates for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM: B. P. COLABAWALLA &
FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, JJ.
DATE: JUNE 20, 2024**

P. C.

The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellants at the outset submitted that the name of Respondent No.2 in the cause title of the Appeal is mentioned as “Dr. (Mrs).Sushila S. Katkade” when infact, it should be “Dr. (Mrs). Shashikala S. Katkade”. He submitted that leave be granted to carry out the aforesaid correction.

2 Considering this a typographical mistake, the Appellants are permitted to correct the name of Respondent No.2 from “Dr. (Mrs).Sushila S. Katkade” to “Dr.(Mrs).Shashikala S. Katkade”. The correction shall be carried out forthwith in the presence of the Associate.

3 The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellants submitted that the arguing counsel is unavailable today, and requested that the matter be kept on 4th July, 2024. Respondent No.1, who is present in-person in Court today, has stated that his advocate is not available today, and has requested that the matter be adjourned. The learned counsel for Respondent No.2 has no objection.

4 In these circumstances, we place the above Appeal “For admission” on 4th July, 2024.

5 This order will be digitally signed by the Private Secretary/ Personal Assistant of this Court. All concerned will act on production by fax or email of a digitally signed copy of this order.

[FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, J.]

[B. P. COLABAWALLA, J.]