

prs

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (L) NO.19278 of 2025

Dharampal Sharma and ors. ... Petitioners  
v/s.  
State of Maharashtra and ors. ... Respondents

---

Mr. Y. S. Jahagirdar, Senior Advocate with Mr. Girish Godbole,  
Senior Advocate with Mrs. Jai Kanade, Mr. Vyom Shah, Ms.  
Anusha Munshi, Ms. Sonam Pandey and Mr. Virendra Percira  
for the Petitioners.

Ms. Usha Rahi, AGP for Respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Pankaj Savant, Senior Advocate with Mr. S. M. Algaus and  
Ms. Aarti Sonawane i/by M/s Argus Partners for Respondent  
No.5.

---

CORAM : Kamal Khata, J.  
DATED : 2<sup>nd</sup> July 2025.

P.C.:-

1. Learned senior counsel for the Petitioners submits that they are not pressing for prayer clauses (d) and (e) at page 71 of the petition in Writ Petition (L) No.19278 of 2025.

2. He further submits that the present petition is moved today for continuation the order dated 26<sup>th</sup> August, 2024. He states that the appeal before the Hon'ble Minister for

Revenue (State of Maharashtra) has been disposed of by order dated 5<sup>th</sup> June, 2025, which is under challenge in this petition.

3. In view of the above, I see no impediment in continuing the order of status-quo till the next date.

4. Learned counsel further submits that they will be pressing the prayer clauses (d) and (e) in a separate petition to be filed before the Division Bench of this Court.

5. In view of this liberty, prayer clauses (d) and (e) are not pressed for in this petition.

6. Liberty is granted to carry out the amendment for deletion of the said prayers as well as deletion of grounds, and relevant averments in paragraph No.5 at page 7 of the petition, if required.

7. Let the amendment be carried out within one week from the date of uploading of this order on the official website of the Bombay High Court. Reverification to be dispensed with.

8. Liberty to Respondent No.5 to file their reply within a period of two weeks from the date of uploading of the order on the website of Bombay High Court of Bombay.

9. The learned A.G.P. for Respondents No.1 to 4 seeks time to file reply to the petition. The learned counsel for the Petitioners opposes the request, contending that the impugned Order is quasi-judicial and the Respondents are not entitled to file a reply in the petition, but must abide by the orders passed by the Authority. He submits, however, that the Petitioners may be permitted to file the rejoinder, if necessary, at the time of hearing.

10. Accordingly, at this stage, I see no reason to permit the Respondents No.1 to 4 to file reply. The said request will be considered on the next date upon hearing the Advocates.

11. Both the learned Advocates are directed to file and exchange soft and hard copies of their list of dates, synopsis and citations by 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2025.

12. Rejoinder, if any, be filed by 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2025.

13. List the matter on 7<sup>th</sup> August, 2025 at 3.00 p.m.

**(Kamal Khata, J.)**

The order is corrected pursuant to speaking to the minutes Order dated 11<sup>th</sup> July, 2025.