



Bhogale



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION (L) NO.19160 OF 2025

Ramesh Kashiram Kamble

... Petitioner

Versus

Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai
and ors.

.... Respondents

Adv. Shashwat Nehete i/b. Adv. Omkar Dhakal, for the petitioner.

Adv. Anjali Ghuge, for the respondent No.1-BMC.

Adv. Anil Rao, for respondent No.4.

**CORAM : M. S. KARNIK &
SHYAM C. CHANDAK, JJ.**

DATE : 24th MARCH, 2026

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. To ventilate the grievances raised in this writ petition, the petitioner is permitted to adopt appropriate remedy of approaching the Apex Grievance Redressal Committee ("AGRC", for short). Learned counsel for respondent No.4 fairly submits that if the petitioner approaches the AGRC by way of filing an appeal within a period of four weeks from today, the respondent No.4 shall not raise an objection about the delay in filing the appeal and in any case will not oppose the condonation of delay application if such a question arises.



3. Keeping all contentions open, the writ petition is disposed of.

(SHYAM C. CHANDAK, J.)

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)