



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 16777 OF 2026

Adnan Abbas Electricwala

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 16779 OF 2026

Farida Kasim Ali Manihar

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents

Mr. Fakhruddin Khan a/w. Mr Yusuf Mithi, Ms. Somaia Shaikh & Ms. Saima Ansari for the Petitioner in both Petitions.

Ms. Fatima Lakdawala, AGP for the State of Maharashtra in WP(L)/16777/2026.

Ms. Madhura Deshmukh, AGP for the State of Maharashtra in WP(L)/16779/2026.

Mr. Abhishek Kothari a/w. Mr. Rahul Theckedath for Respondent No. 5 in both Petitions.

Ms. Uma Palsuledesai for AGRC & SRA in both Petitions.

CORAM : JITENDRA JAIN, J.
DATED : 08th MAY 2026

P. C. :

1. The present petition has been moved before this Court since the Division Bench has opined that the petition would lie before the Single Judge Bench and since the Regular Single Judge Bench is not sitting today, the matter is taken up on urgent basis by the alternate Bench.



2. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that he is occupying slum property on C.T.S. No.736. He seeks stay of the demolition of the property on C.T.S. No.736. The learned counsel for Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA) and the developer states that the demolition notice is qua C.T.S. Nos. 717(P) and 718(P). If the demolition is qua C.T.S. Nos. 717(P) and 718(P), then question of giving any relief to a person on C.T.S. No.736 does not arise.

3. In view of above, petition is disposed of.

4. If any action is taken on C.T.S. No.736, then same would be decided in accordance with law. All the contentions of all the parties with respect to said C.T.S. are kept open.

[JITENDRA JAIN, J.]