

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (L) NO.16722 OF 2026

SATISH
RAMCHANDRA
SANGAR

Usha Pralhad Pol

...Petitioner

V/s.

The Mumbai Repairs and Reconstruction
Board and Ors.

...Respondents

Ms.Induprakash Tripathi i/b. Mr.C.K.Tripathi, Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr.Siddharth S. Chandrashekhar, Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 to 3
-MHADA.

CORAM : M.S.KARNIK &
S. M. MODAK, JJ.

DATE : 15th JUNE 2026

P. C. :-

1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner.
2. Issue notice to the Respondents. Learned counsel for Respondent Nos.1 to 3 appears. Though learned counsel opposed the Petition by contending that the Petitioner is not a bonafide purchaser, however, upon hearing the Petitioner, we find that the Petitioner had purchased the premises from the original allottee. The MHADA has cancelled the allotment of the original allottee on the ground that the purchase was not bonafide as the purchase was on the strength of bogus documents.

3. On these facts, we are of the prima facie opinion that the Petitioner should have been heard who is the subsequent purchaser before the impugned order was passed. Let reply be filed by MHADA within a period of 3 weeks from today. Learned counsel waives service for Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3. In addition to the Court notice, private notice on Respondent No.4 is permitted. Rejoinder, if any, to be filed in the Office within 1 week from the date of filing of the Affidavit-in-Reply.

4. List on **28th July 2026**.

5. Till the next date, no coercive steps be initiated by MHADA against the Petitioner.

(S. M. MODAK, J.)

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)