



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 16573 OF 2026

Satyababu Ramlakhan Gupta

...Petitioner

Vs.

Competent Authority -7, SRA and Anr.

...Respondents

Mr. Shailesh Pal i/by Ashwin Tripathi - Advocate for the Petitioner
Ms. Aarushi Yadav - for the Respondent-SRA

CORAM : M. S. KARNIK AND
S. M. MODAK, JJ.

DATE : 07th MAY 2026

P. C. :-

1. Heard Mr. Pal, learned counsel for the Petitioner and Ms. Yadav, learned counsel for Respondent-SRA.
2. The representation ought to have been decided in terms of the order dated 09.04.2026 passed by this Court. We were inclined to summon the concerned authorities of the SRA to remain present to explain as to why order passed by this Court has not been complied with, however, learned counsel for the Petitioner in all fairness did not oppose the request made by the learned counsel for the SRA to give



one opportunity to the competent authority of the SRA to decide the representation. Hence, we are granting extension of time to take a decision on the representation by further period of four weeks from today. It is made clear that in the event the representation is not decided within a period of four weeks, liberty to Petitioner is kept open to approach this Court, in which case, we may be constrained to summon the concerned competent authority of the SRA seeking an explanation for non-compliance of the order passed by this Court.

3. For a period of six weeks from today, the interim order in terms of para no. 4 of the order dated 26th February 2026 passed by this Court in Writ Petition (L) No. 6527 of 2026 to continue.

4. With the above observations, the present Writ Petition is disposed of.

(S. M. MODAK, J.)

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)