

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (LODGING) NO. 14690 OF 2025**

Hare-Rama Hare-Krishna Welfare ... Petitioner
vs.
Municipal Corporation of Greater Bombay ... Respondent

Mr. D. R. Tiwari for petitioner.

Ms. Jyoti V. Mhatre a/w. Ms. Anuja Tirmali, i/b. Ms. Komal Punjabi for respondent-MCGM.

CORAM : MANISH PITALE, J.

DATE : 09th MAY, 2025

P.C. :

. This petition is listed urgently before this Court, as the regular Court is not available.

2. The petitioner apprehends demolition of its structure, in pursuance of a notice issued by respondent-Corporation.

3. The learned counsel for respondent-Corporation submits that papers have been served today to the legal department of the Corporation and therefore, appropriate instructions will have to be taken.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner is relying upon various documents filed along with petition, but mainly on a judgement and order dated 28.07.2011, passed by the City Civil Court, allegedly in respect of the very property i.e. CTS No. 1612, which is at page Nos.102 to 117 of the petition. It is claimed that in the face of the said judgement passed in respect of the very same property, the respondent-Corporation could not have issued the impugned notice of demolition.

5. Without expressing any opinion on the contentions raised on behalf of the petitioner, but considering the urgency of the matter, this Court is of the opinion that the petition can be directed to be placed before the Vacation Bench. The learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation can, in the meanwhile, take instructions in the matter.

6. Hence, list on 13.05.2025 before the Vacation Bench.

7. Coercive action may not be taken till the next date.

(MANISH PITALE, J)

Priya Kambli