

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1741/2022

SUNIL SHANTILAL GOSAR AND ANR

..... APPLICANTS

VERSUS

YASH BUILDERS AND ANR

..... RESPONDENTS

ALONGWITH

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 2491/2025

ALONGWITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.19/2020

ALONGWITH

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 6157/2025

ALONGWITH

EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1619/2025

ALONGWITH

NOTICE NO. 118/2025

IN

EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1619/2025

Adv. Ajay Bhise a/w. Adv. Deepali Kedar for the Judgment Debtors.

Adv. Malcom Siganporia a/w. Adv. Kinjal Kakkad i/b. Adv. Jayesh R. Vyas for the Applicants/Decree Holder.

Adv. Kadambari Patil a/w. Adv. Mihir Kania for the Respondent Nos. 11 and 12 in IA/2491/2025.

Adv. Sunil C. Khandagale i/b. Adv. Komal Punjabi for the Respondent – BMC.

CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 27 NOVEMBER, 2025

PC. :-

- 1) Mr. Bhise, learned advocate appearing for the judgment debtor, who is present in Court, on instructions submits that without prejudice to the rights and contentions of his client, he will deposit with the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai, a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees Ten lacs only) towards property tax pertaining to Consumer No. 0408300070000 by Monday, 1 December, 2025.
- 2) Mr. Siganporia, learned advocate appearing for the decree holder in Execution Application No. 1741 of 2022 submits that the outstanding amount towards the property tax will be much more than what is being tried to portrait by Mr.Bhise. The Corporation has also submitted that the property taxes payable is much higher and would be amount of Rs.49,38,277/- .
- 3) The applicant is permitted to deposit the said amount of Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees Ten lacs only) with the Corporation by 1 December, 2025.
- 4) Stand over to **2 December, 2025.**
- 5) The Corporation to accept the amount without prejudice to the rights and contentions of their clients.

[RAJESH S. PATIL, J.]