

Priya Soparkar

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3441 OF 2019

Laxmi Ramji Gaikwad and ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai and ...Respondents
ors.

Ms. Gayatri Singh, Senior Advocate with Ms. Shraddha Halapnavar, for the Petitioners.

Ms. Anuja Tirmali with Ms. Jyoti Mhatre i/by Ms. Komal Punjabi, for the Respondent No.1/MCGM.

Ms. Nazia Sheikh, AGP, for the Respondents Nos.2 to 8, 12 and 13.

Mr. Rakesh Sawant, for the Respondent No.10.

**CORAM: SUMAN SHYAM &
S. M. MODAK, JJ.**

DATED: 15th DECEMBER, 2025.

PC:-

1. Mr. Rakesh Sawant, learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No.10 submits that although reply had been filed earlier, yet, during the pendency of the Writ Petition, the ground realities have substantially changed, having a material bearing on the outcome of the proceeding. Therefore, the Respondent No.10 seeks leave to file additional reply.

2. Four weeks time is granted to the learned counsel for the Respondent No. 10 to file additional reply, by serving advance copy

not only upon the learned counsel for the Petitioners but also upon the learned AGP

3. Two weeks further time is granted to the learned counsel for the Petitioners to file rejoinder, if any. After that, the concerned party may seek circulation of the matter for final hearing before the appropriate Bench.

4. Stand over to 9th February, 2026.

(S. M. MODAK, J.)

(SUMAN SHYAM, J.)