

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 57 OF 2011**

**IN**

**SUIT NO. 3813 OF 2000**

Atcom Technologies Ltd.

... Petitioner

Versus

Y.A. Chunawala and Co. and others

... Respondents

**WITH**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 59 OF 2012**

**IN**

**COMMERCIAL SUMMARY SUIT NO. 7 OF 1999**

.....

Mr. Shanay Shah instructed by Mr. Neuty Thakkar instructed by Mr. Tushar Goradia, Advocate for the Petitioner in CONP-57-2011.

Mr. Samir Vaidya alongwith Mr. Aditya Parmar and Ms. Zainab Khan, Advocate for the Respondents.

Ms. Masira Lulania instructed by Negandhi Shah & Himayatullah, Advocate for the Defendants No.1, 3 & 4 in S-3183-2000.

Ms. Nandini Deshpande, 1<sup>st</sup> Assistant to the Court Receiver, present.

.....

**CORAM : ABHAY AHUJA, J.**

**DATE : 31 JULY 2025**

**PC. :**

1. This matter was kept back in the morning session as the Affidavits filed by Respondents No.2, 3 and 4 were to be examined by the learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner.

2. When the matter is called out in the afternoon session, Mr. Vaidya, learned Counsel, appearing for the Respondents submits that the Respondents No.3 and 4 are present in Court. That Affidavits have been filed on behalf of the Respondents No.2, 3 and 4 pursuant to the order dated 3<sup>rd</sup> July 2025.

3. Mr. Vaidya submits that as submitted in the Affidavit filed on behalf of the Respondent No.2, considering his medical condition, he was unable to remain present in Court on the last date and also today and has sought by way of an Affidavit a permission to appear through video-conferencing.

4. Let response to the three Affidavits be filed on behalf of the Petitioner within a period of two weeks, with copy to the other side. Rejoinder, if any in a week thereafter, with copy to the other side.

5. List on **11<sup>th</sup> September 2025**.

6. Let Respondents No.2, 3 and 4 remain present in Court on the next date.

**(ABHAY AHUJA, J.)**