

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION****NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1614 OF 2013****IN****SUIT NO.849 OF 2013**

Amal Investments Private Limited ... Plaintiff.

Versus

Mercantile Corporation & Ors. ... Defendants.

ALONGWITH

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1518 OF 2012**IN****SUIT NO.1437 OF 2012**

Mukesh R. Gokal & Ors. ..Plaintiffs.

Versus

Amal Investments Private Limited & Ors. ... Defendants.

ALONGWITH

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1613 OF 2013**IN****SUIT NO.850 OF 2013**

Anand Investment Pvt. Ltd. ..Plaintiff.

Versus

Mercantile Corporation & Ors. ... Defendants.

ALONGWITH

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1321 OF 2013
IN
SUIT NO.489 OF 2013**

Union Enterprises ..Plaintiffs.

Versus

Mercantile Corporation & Ors. ... Defendants.

ALONGWITH

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO.143 OF 2014
IN
SUIT NO.35 OF 2014**

Mukesh R. Gokal & Ors. ..Plaintiffs.

Versus

Kishore Jagjivan Gokal & Ors. ... Defendants.

ALONGWITH

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO.208 OF 2014
IN
SUIT NO.28 OF 2014**

Mukesh R. Gokal & Ors. ..Plaintiffs.

Versus

Ashok Jagjivan Gokal & Ors. ... Defendants.

ALONGWITH

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1302 OF 2014
IN
SUIT NO.396 OF 2014**

Mukesh R. Gokal & Ors.

..Plaintiffs.

Versus

Ashok Jagjivan Gokal & Ors.

... Defendants.

Ms.Astha Tamhankar alongwith Mr. Sameer Chitnis i/by M/s. Chitnis & Co. for the Plaintiff.

Mr.Ankit Pathak i/by Bharucha & Partners for the Defendant No.14 in Suit Nos.849 of 2013 and 850 of 2013.

Mr.Rajesh Talekar alongwith Ms.Gunjan Shah i/by Desai and Diwanji, for the Defendant Nos.2,3, 8,10 and 11 in Suit Nos.849 of 2013 and 850 of 2013.

Mr.Avrup Dasgupta alongwith Mr. Shrey Shah i/by Jhangiani Nawla & Associates for the Defendant No.12.

CORAM : S.C. GUPTA, J.

DATE : 20 MARCH 2018.

P.C.:

1 The matter is brought on for directions on the ground that despite the structural consultant having furnished a statement of areas (in absolute and percentage terms) occupied by the respective parties, two out of the four parties before the Court, viz. Defendant No.4 on the one hand and Defendant Nos.2, 3, 8, 10 and 11 on the other, have not signed the work order.

2 Learned Counsel appearing for these Defendants state that their clients shall sign the work order latest by 27 February 2018. The statements are accepted.

3 It is needless to add that execution of the work order would signify acceptance by all the parties signing the same of the respective areas in occupation in absolute terms as well as in percentage terms.

(S.C.GUPTA,J)