



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 12677 OF 2026

Mohd. Imran Haji Mohd. Suleman Qureshi ... Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Rajkumar Awasthi i/b Mr. Fayzan Khan for the Petitioner.
Ms. Vaishali Ugale for Respondent No.4.
Mr. Milind More, Addl GP for the Respondent-State.
Mrs. Manisha Jagtap for the Respondent-MHADA.

CORAM : M. S. KARNIK AND
S. M. MODAK, JJ.
DATED : 8th JUNE, 2026.

PC. :

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the representation made by the petitioner dated 3rd December, 2025 to MHADA is decided expeditiously.
2. Ms. Jagtap, learned counsel appeared for respondent-MHADA at our request. We have heard Ms. Jagtap, learned counsel for the respondent-MHADA who has just been served a copy of the petition. Ms. Jagtap sought some time to take instructions.
3. It may not be necessary for us to adjourn the petition as the only direction which we are inclined to issue in view of request made by the petitioner is to the respondent-MHADA to look into the representation



which is at Exhibit-A and take an appropriate decision on the same in accordance with law. The representation be decided expeditiously preferably within a period of twelve weeks from the date of communication of this Order.

4. It is made clear that we have not made any observation on the merits of the contentions raised by the petition.

5. All contentions are kept open including the question of delay in submitting the representation.

6. The petition is disposed of.

(S. M. MODAK, J.)

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)