

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO.2 OF 2003  
IN  
TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO.381 OF 2002

Ashokkumar Sardarilal Agarwal & Anr. : Plaintiffs.  
Versus  
Vijay S Aggarwal : Defendant.

Ms.Iyer i/by Doijode & Associates for the Plaintiffs.  
Mr.Mohan Rane i/by Vigil Juris for the Defendant.

CORAM : R.M.SAVANT, J  
DATED : DECEMBER 18, 2008

P.C.

1. The above matter is on board today for speaking to the minutes of order dated 5<sup>th</sup> December 2008. In para 7 of the said order in the 2<sup>nd</sup> last line after the word "commence" substitute the word "within" by the word "after". The order dated 5<sup>th</sup> December 2008 to stand corrected accordingly.

2. The clarification sought vide Para-a of the precipe is rejected as the recording in the said order is as per the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties at the time of hearing of the matter as regards acceptance of the Architect's report. Office to issue corrected copy of the said order to the respective parties. The precipe for speaking to the minutes accordingly to stand disposed of.

[R.M.SAVANT, J]