



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 12419 OF 2026

Mushtaque Ishtiyaque Hussain Shaikh & Anr. ... Petitioners

Versus

Apex Grievance Redressal Committee (AGRC) & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Satish Muley a/w. Mr. Mosin Naik i/b Santoshchandra Gupta for the
Petitioners.

Ms. Uma Palsuledesai for the Respondent-AGRC.

Smt. Gauri Sawant, AGP for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Sahil Saiyed a/w. Mr. Shahzeb Hussain Sayyed, Ms. Afrin Dalal, Ms.
Afreen Banu Md. Ali Rizvi i/b Vis Juris for Respondent No.4.

Ms. Ravleen Sabharwal a/w. Ms. Aarushi Yadav, Ms. Rutuja Shedge, Mr.
Aatish Tayade i/b Ravleen Sabharwal for the Respondent-SRA.

Mr. P. G. Lad a/w. Ms. Sayali Apte for the Respondent-MHADA.

CORAM : M. S. KARNIK AND
S. M. MODAK, JJ.

DATED : 9th APRIL, 2026.

PC. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.
2. So far as petitioner No.1 is concerned, the appeal has been filed before the Apex Grievance Redressal Committee (for short 'AGRC'). We are informed that the same is listed for hearing tomorrow. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners apprehend demolition.



3. Learned counsel for respondent No.4 opposed the petition. It is submitted that though the appeal was filed before the AGRC on 13th August, 2025 by petitioner No.1, it is only now that petitioner No.1 has moved this petition without making any effort to press for any application for stay before the AGRC. Be that as it may, since the appeal of petitioner No.1 is being heard by the AGRC, we are of the view that the AGRC could be requested to decide the appeal filed by petitioner No.1 expeditiously and preferably tomorrow itself finally or in any case within a period of three weeks from today. Order accordingly. For the period of three weeks, no coercive steps be taken against petitioner No.1.

4. So far as petitioner No.2 is concerned, learned counsel for respondent No.2 submitted that petitioner No.2 has not filed any appeal before the AGRC against the order dated 9th July, 2025 passed under Sections 33 and 38 of the Slum Act. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.2 would file an appeal before the AGRC within a period of one week from today along with an application for stay. Upon filing of such appeal or application for stay, it is for the AGRC to decide the appeal/application for stay on its own merits.

5. Only by way of an indulgence, since the structure of petitioner No.2 is likely to be demolished and even as the learned counsel for respondent



No.4-developer is pushing for the demolition, we direct that for a period of one week from today, no coercive steps be taken against petitioner No.2.

6. Keeping all contentions open, the petition is disposed of.

(S. M. MODAK, J.)

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)