



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 12377 OF 2026

IN

SUTT (L) NO. 10848 OF 2026

Shree Vardhaman Sthanakvasi Jain

Sharvak Sangh Mulji Nagar & Ors.

...Applicants/Plaintiffs

Versus

Vibhushan Estate Private Ltd. & Ors.

...Defendants

Mr. Mukesh Vashi, Sr. Adv. a/w Soram Vora (through V.C.) i/b Rajkumar Mishra,
for the Applicants/Plaintiffs.

Mr. Viral Shukla a/w Ms. Priti Shukla and Mr. Rudra Dani i/b Shukla and
Associates, for Defendant No.1.

Mr. Mayur Khandeparkar a/w Mr. Deepak Singh, Ms. Nikita Bhuta and Ms.
Neha Tatkar i/b S L Partners, for Defendant No.2.

Mr. Anoshak Davar a/w Mr. Jay Yadav i/b J. R. Vakil & Associates, for Defendant
No.5.

CORAM : ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.

DATE : 16th APRIL, 2026

P.C.

1. Mr. Khandeparkar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Defendant No.2 has today submitted that despite the fact that Defendant No.2 had removed the debris and had also offered to restore water connection, the Applicants/Plaintiffs had lodged a police complaint against his client.



2. Mr. Vashi, learned senior counsel for the Applicants/Plaintiffs assures the Court that the said police complaint shall be withdrawn by his clients. His statement is accepted.

3. Mr. Vashi then submitted that the composite premises being offered to the Applicants/Plaintiffs was on the second podium floor level, which would not be convenient to the munis and also the other liberties. He, therefore, submitted that the allotment of premises be made on the first floor and that his clients would also be willing to make the necessary additional payment in respect of such premises.

4. Mr. Khandeparkar, however, submitted that no assurance that any allotment would be made on the first floor podium could be given.

5. Stand over to **30th April 2026**.

[ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.]