

Digitally
signed by
TRUSHA
TUSHAR
MOHITE
Date: 2025.09.19
10:28:30
+0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
SUTT (L) NO. 11872 OF 2025
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 13610 OF 2025
IN
SUTT (L) NO. 11872 OF 2025

Daulat Shahabuddin Virani

.. Plaintiff

Versus

Amina Firoz Virani & Anr.

.. Defendants

Adv. Karl Tamboly a/w Adv. Hrushi Narvekar, Adv. Samit Shukla, Adv. Siddharth Shah, Adv. Mustafa Nalwala i/b Trilegal for the Plaintiff.

Adv. Fereshte Sethna a/w Adv. Mohit Tiwari i/b DMD for Defendants.

CORAM: FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, J.

DATE: SEPTEMBER 18, 2025

P. C.

1. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Defendants seeks time to file an Affidavit-in-Reply to the Interim Application to oppose ad-interim relief.
2. Affidavit-in-Reply to be filed on or before 26th September, 2025 and a copy thereof be served on the Plaintiff by that date. Stand over to 6th October, 2025.
3. Till 6th October, 2025, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties, the Defendants will maintain statusquo in respect

of the properties mentioned in Exhibit “A” to the Plaintiff at A (ii) (iii) (iv) and the movable properties at B in Exhibit “A” and at B (i) a, b, c, d and (iii) (a).

4. It is clarified that it is the case of the Defendants that the property at A(ii) does not form a part of the estate of the deceased. It is also the case of the Defendants that a Court Receiver has already been appointed in respect of the property at A(iv) of Exhibit A. Further, the Defendants also clarify that it is the Defendant’s case that they are not in use of the property described at A (iii). Also, it is the case of the Defendants that the immovable property mentioned at B (b) also does not form a part of the estate of the deceased.

[FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, J.]