
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 2043 OF 2026
IN
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 23 OF 2018**

Digitally
signed by
CHAITANYA
ASHOK
JADHAV
Date:
2026.06.19
15:46:42
+0530

Vivek Jayesh Tanna ...Applicant

Versus

Sai Siddhant Developers ...Respondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 9350 of 2024**

Mr. Ram U. Singh *a/w Adv. Ankur Jain, Adv. Kajal Soni, for the Applicant.*

Ms. Chaitra Rao, *for the Org. Petitioner.*

Mr. R. N. Narulla *i/b Jhangiani Narula and Associates, for Applicant in IA/23/2018.*

CORAM: SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.

DATE: JUNE 17, 2026

ORDER :

1. Mr. Jayesh Tanna and Mr. Vivek Tanna are present in Court today. Mr. Narula, on their behalf, makes a proposal to substitute the flat over which security had been granted in the Consent Terms to the Petitioners, with another flat in respect of which the Alleged Contemnors claim to have a free, clear and marketable title. Mr. Narula submits that inspection of the said

premises will be given to the Petitioners within the next two days, and in any event no later than this weekend, and that the Petitioners would be able to satisfy themselves as to the title thereto, including on the basis of a clear title certificate that would be provided in respect of such premises.

2. The Alleged Contemnors are directed to reduce the proposal to writing in the form of an affidavit by which shall form part of the Court's record, with an advance copy being served on the Petitioners.

3. Let such written proposal be delivered to the Advocates for the Petitioners no later than June 22, 2026. In any case, the activity as aforesaid as proposed by Mr. Narula shall be carried out in parallel.

4. In view of the Alleged Contemnors having presented themselves, the warrant issued in respect of Mr. Vivek Tanna is hereby cancelled. It is however made clear that Mr. Jayesh Tanna and Mr. Vivek Tanna shall remain remain present on the next date of hearing.

5. Stand over to **June 25, 2026** to get an update in the matter and to ascertain the precise contours of the proposal and the Petitioners' response to the same.

6. Interim Application (L) No. 9350 of 2024 is an Intervention Application filed on behalf of the owner of Flat No. 1202, which forms the subject matter of

the encumbrance created in the Consent Terms. The Intervenor's grievance is that the Flat No. 1202 could have never been brought into the mix by the Tannas. The Interim Application is not on board but by consent, it is taken on record and heard along with the Contempt Petition. Learned Advocate for the aforesaid flat owner submits that despite his client having title to the premises, the said flat was offered as security / encumbrance in the Consent Terms, which, to begin with, could never have been so offered.

7. Considering that Mr. Narula has proposed substitution of the flat, the need to adjudicate this facet of the matter may not arise. The Interim Application same would also be considered on the next date along with the Contempt Petition, i.e. on June 25, 2026.

8. All actions required to be taken pursuant to this order shall be taken upon receipt of a downloaded copy as available on this Court's website.

[SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.]