



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2146 of 2021

Celina Tita Dsouza

...Petitioner

V/s.

Bank OF Baroda & Ors.

...Respondents

Adv. Floyd Francis Mariano Gracias for the Petitioner.

Adv. Lancy D'souza a/w. Deepika Agarwal i/b. Parker for Respondent No.1
& 2.

Mr. N. Blubna for Respondent No.3.

CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE, AND

FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, J.J.

DATE : 4th SEPTEMBER 2023

PC.

1. Leave to file Affidavit-in-Reply is granted to Respondent No.1,
i.e, Bank of Baroda.

2. Leave to file Rejoinder is also granted to the Petitioner.

3. We have heard this matter for some time. We find that no prayer whatsoever has been made against Respondent No.3. When we asked learned Counsel for the Petitioner as to the reason for impleading Union of India as party Respondent No.3, learned Counsel for the Petitioner was unable to answer our question. It appears that there are no averments made in relation to or against Respondent No.3 nor learned Counsel could show to us any such averments. Thus, we do not find that Respondent No.3 would be a necessary party to this Petition and therefore we direct the

Petitioner to delete the Union of India as party Respondent No.3, from the array of the Respondents. We also caution the Petitioner to be careful in impleading parties in a case like this.

3. Stand over to 3rd October 2023.

(FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, J.)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.)