

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTATE JURISDICTION**

**TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO. 37 OF 2008**

**IN**

**SUIT (L) NO. 976 OF 2019**

**WITH**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 173 OF 2019**

Sindhu Parshuram Shinde ... Applicant /Plaintiff

vs

Pradeep Parshuram Shinde ... Defendants

**WITH**

**TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO. 511 OF 2007**

Mr. Anand Prakash Mishra for the Plaintiff.

Ms. Shahin Sayed h/f. Mr. S. K. Pise for the Defendant.

**CORAM : A.K. MENON, J.**

**DATED : 25<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2019**

**P.C.**

1. On behalf of the defendants learned Advocate states that Mr. Pise Advocate engaged by defendant no. 1 has been informed by the defendant that the defendant he wishes to make alternate arrangements for representation. Learned counsel appearing states that Mr. Pise has returned the papers and has given a no objection endorsement to defendant no.1.

2. On behalf of the petitioner an application is made for ad-interim in terms of the motion. However considering the fact that defendant is not present today, one last opportunity is to be granted. Considering the nature of the relief this can be considered in the next week. Accordingly, list the motion for ad-interim relief on **1<sup>st</sup> October, 2019**. Plaintiffs Advocate to inform defendant no. 1 of this order. Mr.Pise shall also intimate his defendant of the fact that he shall make alternate arrangement to be represented by the Advocate duly instructed on the next date failing which caveat is liable to be dismissed.

**(A.K. MENON,J.)**