

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2317 OF 2016

Municipal Corporation of Greater
Mumbai
Versus
Ratnakar Gaikwad and Ors.

...Petitioner

...Respondents

ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1613 OF 2017
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1591 OF 2017

Mr. A.Y. Sakhare, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. Rohit Gaikwad i/b Ms. Komal Punjabi for the Petitioner.

CORAM: MANISH PITALE &
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ.

DATE: 27th JANUARY 2026

PC.

1. In these three Writ Petitions a common issue arises for consideration.
2. The record shows that while Respondents in Writ Petition No. 2317 of 2016 have been served and ad-interim relief in terms of prayer clause (b) is operating, due to failure in supplying spare copies notices could not be issued in Writ Petition No. 1613 of 2017 and Writ Petition No. 1591 of 2017, although ad-interim relief is operating in these two Petitions also.
3. In view of the above, issue fresh notice in Writ Petition No. 1613 of 2017 and Writ Petition No. 1591 of 2017 returnable on 25th February 2026.

4. Till the next date ad-interim relief shall continue to operate in all the three Writ Petitions.
5. All three Writ Petitions shall be listed together on the next date.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)