

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 11252 OF 2026

Shaikh Ghulam Dastagir & Ors.

... Petitioners

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra thr.
Its Principal Secretary Urban Development
Dept. & Ors.

... Respondents

Mr. Akash Rebello with Mr. Nadeem Shama, Mr. Paras Gosar, Ms. Bushra Sayed & Mr. Manish Tomar, i/b Ms. Pragya Mishra, for the Petitioners.

Mr. Suraj Gupte, AGP, for Respondent-State.

Mr. Prakhar Tondon with Ms. Aarushi Yadav and Mr Aatish Tayade, i/b Ms. Raveeln Sabharwal, for Respondent no. 2 - AGRC & SRA.

Ms. Sayali Apte, i/b Mr. P. G. Lad for Respondent-MHADA.

Mr. Mayur Khandeparkar, a/w Mr. Bhushan Deshmukh and Mr. Aditya Miskita, Ms. Aayushi Gohil, Mr. Umair Merchant & Ms. Rima Ajmerwalla, i/b M/s. M. T. Miskita & Co. for Respondent no. 6.

CORAM : FARHAN P. DUBASH, J.

DATE : 1st APRIL 2026

P. C.:

1. Mr. Rebello, learned Counsel who appears for the Petitioners invites my attention to the order dated 30th March 2026 passed by the Division Bench of this Court. A perusal of paragraph 1 of this order states as under :-

“1. The Writ Petition challenges the order passed by the Apex Grievance Redressal Committee (“AGRC”) which lie before the learned Single Judge.”

2. Accordingly, Mr. Rebello has moved the present Writ Petition before this Court.

3. Mr. Khandeparkar invites my attention to prayer clauses (C), (D), (E) and (F) of the present Writ Petition. He states that whilst prayer clauses (A) and (B) challenges the decision of the AGRC, prayer clauses (C), (D), (E) and (F) seek much more. He submits that the subject matter of the challenge made in these prayers would not fall within the jurisdiction of the Single Bench and such reliefs would only be maintainable before the Division Bench of this Court.

4. Considering this, the Registry is directed to immediately verify as to whether the subject matter of the challenge in all the prayers sought in the present Writ Petition lie before the Single Bench or the Division Bench and accordingly place the matter before the appropriate Bench tomorrow viz. 2nd April 2026.

5. The protection that was earlier granted vide order dated 30th March 2026 shall continue to operate till the Registry decides and places the matter before the appropriate Bench on 2nd April 2026. A copy of this order will be made available to the Petitioners by 1.00 pm today and the Petitioners are directed to move the Registry before 2.00 pm today.

(FARHAN P. DUBASH, J.)

Amol