

Ajay

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
TESTAMENTARY & INTESTATE JURISDICTION

MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO. 97 OF 2022

Ranjan Sadashiv Pednekar	.. Deceased
<u>IN THE MATTER BETWEEN</u>	
Archana Sanjeev Joshi	.. Petitioner
 Rita Ranjan Pednekar	 .. Respondent

-
- Mr. Ajeet Manwani, Advocate for Petitioner.
-

CORAM : MILIND N. JADHAV, J.

DATE : SEPTEMBER 20, 2025.

P.C.:

- 1.** Heard Mr. Manwani, learned Advocate for Petitioner.
- 2.** Petition seeks revocation of the Grant in favour of the wife of deceased. Name of the wife is Rita Ranjan Pednekar. She is resident of Andheri, Mumbai. Petition is filed in the year 2022.
- 3.** Mr. Manwani, learned Advocate appearing for Petitioner would submit that copy of Petition has been served on Respondent. On 19.06.2023, this Court had heard this Petition for the first time when it was mentioned before the Court and passed certain directions.
- 4.** Grievance of Mr. Manwani is that the Grant which is issued by this Court is attempted to be misused by Respondent in the Partition Suit proceedings in the Bombay City Civil Court. Therefore a direction

was passed by this Court to stay the Suit proceedings, subject to hearing of the present Petition.

5. I have heard Mr. Manwani and perused the Grant on which the Petition has been filed.

6. *Prima facie* the grounds which are enumerated in the Petition are extremely strong grounds. In that view of the matter, Respondent will have to be heard. I direct Mr. Manwani to serve a copy of Petition afresh on Respondent - Smt. Rita Ranjan Pednekar. Humdast permitted.

7. In addition to Court notice, Petitioner is permitted to serve a copy of the Petition and this order on Respondent and inform her about the next date of hearing by any permissible mode of service and file appropriate Affidavit of service with tangible proof thereof on or before the next date.

8. If Respondent is served, she is directed to remain present in Court on the next adjourned date either herself or through Pleader. Considering that Respondent is a woman, she can inform the Court on the next date whether she requires legal representation or otherwise through the Court. If Respondent informs so accordingly, appropriate order can be passed by the Court.

9. It is clarified that on the next adjourned date, if Respondent does not remain present, this Court shall pass appropriate further orders in accordance with law.

10. List the Petition on Board on **3rd October 2025**.

11. Needless to state that, the stay order dated 19.06.2023 is directed to be continued, in the meanwhile.

[MILIND N. JADHAV, J.]

Ajay

AJAY
TRAMBAK
UGALMUGALE
Digitally signed
by AJAY
TRAMBAK
UGALMUGALE
Date: 2025.09.20
19:45:50 +0530