

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

CONTEMPT PETITION (L) NO. 9906 OF 2024

IN

COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION PETITION (L) NO. 16668 OF 2022

Ajay P Hase

...Petitioner

Versus

Munni Hiramani Pandey & Ors.

...Respondents

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION (L) NO.6773 OF 2024

Mr. Gauraj Shah a/w Mr. Harjot Singh and Prince Vaishnav i/b Preeti Pande,
for the Petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharma, for Respondent No.5.

Mr. Shanay Shah a/w Mr. Amogh Singh, Mr. Santosh Pathak and Mr.
Deepesh Kadam i/b Law Origin, for Respondent Nos.6 to 10.

Mr. Yash Momaya a/w Mr. Anand Sakpal i/b Nimish Lothiram, for
Respondent No.12.

CORAM : ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.

DATE : 7th JANUARY, 2026

P.C.

1. Mr. Aditya Sharma, has today entered appearance on behalf of Respondent No.5. On instructions from Respondent No.5, Mr. Sharma submits that his client is ready and willing to comply with the obligations in clause 6(f) of the consent terms, subject, however, to the Petitioner entering into a development agreement. To this, Mr. Gauraj Shah, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner was the erstwhile developer who has now exited the project and hence would not be

liable to enter into any such agreement.

2. Mr. Shanay Shah, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Respondent Nos.6 to 10 points out that since contempt is alleged only against Respondent No.5 and not against his clients, his clients may be deleted from the array of parties. There is no opposition to this request. Hence, the Petitioner shall delete Respondent Nos.6 to 10 from the array of parties.

3. Amendment to be carried out within a period of one week from today. Re-verification is dispensed with.

4. Stand over to **21st January 2026**.

[ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.]