

**Before : Shri D.V. Sawant**  
**Prothonotary & Senior Master**  
**Date : 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2015.**

SL/325/2015

) Mentioned. Not on Board.  
)  
) Taken on board at the request of Advocates of  
) both the parties.  
)  
) Mr. Umesh Kurund, Advocate for Plaintiffs.  
)  
) Mr. M. B. Madekar, Advocate for Defendants  
) Nos. 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 18, 20, 21 and 23.  
)  
) P.C. : Advocates for parties by consent  
) submit that the First Ministerial  
) Officer raised objection with regard to  
) Defendant Nos. 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 18, 20,  
) 21 and 23 are being sued through  
) natural guardian – mother/father in  
) the aforesaid matter and Original Side  
) Rule 64 is complied with, which deals  
) with appointment of guardian by way  
) of Petition in form no. 6. It is settled  
) position in law that aforesaid  
) statement is made by Advocates for  
) the Plaintiffs and Defendants by  
) consent. So also, it is recorded by the  
) Hon'ble Shri Justice Gautam Patel in  
) the aforesaid matter in which  
) Advocate for Plaintiffs in the instant  
) matter, waived service of writ of  
) summons in the Suit No. 706/2014  
) filed by Defendants herein & vice-  
) versa. So also, it is settled position of  
) law since by consent the aforesaid  
) Advocates for parties especially,  
) Advocate for Defendant Nos. 3, 5, 6,  
) 9, 10, 18, 20, 21 and 23 waived  
) service and having no objection for  
) being appointed as natural guardian  
) i.e. mother and father in the aforesaid  
) matter. In view thereof, office  
) objection under O.S.Rule 64 read with  
) Order XXXII Rule 1 & 3 of C.P.C., 1908

2

**Before : Shri D.V. Sawant  
Prothonotary & Senior Master  
Date : 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2015.**

contd....

SL/325/2015

)  
)  
)  
)

and in the light of Order dated 22<sup>th</sup>  
June 2015 raised, is dispensed with.  
Office to number the Suit, forthwith,  
if other objections are complied with.

Date : 24.06.2015

Prothonotary & Senior Master.