

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTATE JURISDICTION

TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO. 26 OF 2007

IN

TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO. 1015 OF 2006

Dr.Hemant Dayashankar Kopikar

.....Petitioner/Plaintiff

V/S.

Shakuntala Gajanan Kulkarni

Yashodhara Madhav Kamat

.....Caveatrixes/Defendants

Mr.N.Bharathan, adv.for plaintiff.

None for caveatrixes-defendants.

Coram : S.C.Dharmadhikari, J.

Dated : 4th November, 2009.

RC. :

1. Heard.

2. It appears from the rojnama that the defendant-caveatrix who is contesting the grant is not present. Even the advocates for both the caveatrixes is absent, although his name appears on the board. Infact, two advocates are engaged by the caveatrixes. In these circumstances, office to proceed by treating the case as uncontested and take further steps in accordance with law.

(S.C.Dharmadhikari, J)