

JVS.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

JAYANT
VISHWANATH
SALUNKE

Digitally signed by
JAYANT VISHWANATH
SALUNKE
Date: 2026.05.07
17:27:01 +05:30

**APPEAL (L) NO. 8035 OF 2026
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 15399 OF 2026**

Nadeem Majid Oomerbhoy } Appellant
Versus
Riyad Rashid Oomerbhoy & Ors. } Respondents

Mr. Karl Shroff with Mr. Z. A. Jariwala, Mr. Ganesh Ambekar and Ms. Shriya Mehta i/b. Jariwala Associates, Advocates for Appellant.

Mr. Kalpesh Joshi with Ms. Nisha Shah i/b. Kalpesh Joshi Associates for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

Mr. Karl Tamboly with Mr. Malcolm Siganporia, Mr. cyrus Jal and Mr. Dev Tejnani i/b. Mr. Dev Tejnani, Advocates for Respondent No. 3.

Ms. Priyanka Kothari i/b. Ms. Kanchan Pandare, Advocate for Respondent No. 6.

Mr. B. V. Baravkar, Court Receiver, High Court Bombay with Mr. Deepak S. Bhalerao, 2nd Assistant to Court Receiver present.

**WITH
APPEAL (L) NO. 8181 OF 2026
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 15756 OF 2026**

Nargis Oomerbhoy & Anr. } Appellants
Versus
Nadeem Majid Oomerbhoy & Ors. } Respondents

Ms. Priyanka Kothari i/b. Ms. Kanchan Pandare, Advocates for Appellants.

Mr. Karl Shroff with Mr. Z. A. Jariwala, Mr. Ganesh Ambekar, Ms. Shriya Mehta i/b. Jariwala Associates, Advocates for Respondent No. 1.

Mr. Kalpesh Joshi with Ms. Nisha Shah i/b. Kalpesh Joshi Associates for Respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

Mr. Karl Tamboly with Mr. Malcolm Signaporia, Mr. Cyrus Jal and Mr. Dev Tajnani i/b. Mr. Dev Tejnani, Advocates for Respondent No. 4.

Mr. Kunal Dwarkadas with Mr. Ayan Roy i/b. Wadia Ghandy & Co., Advocates for Respondent Nos. 6 to 9.

Mr. B. V. Baravkar, Court Receiver, High Court Bombay with Mr. Deepak S. Bhalerao, 2nd Assistant to Court Receiver present.

CORAM: SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR, C.J. & GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.

DATE: 7th MAY 2026

P.C.:

None appears for the respondent nos. 5 and 6 in Appeal (L) No. 8181 of 2026.

2. As indicated in the order dated 6th May 2026, the parties have made their proposals for approaching a Mediator for an amicable settlement of dispute between them. Mr. Kalpesh Joshi, the learned counsel for the respondent nos. 2 and 3 in Appeal (L) No. 8181 of 2026 states that he has instructions to make a statement in the Court that these respondents are not agreeable for mediation for exploring any possibility of amicable settlement of dispute between the parties.

3. This is a stand taken by the appellant that before the impugned order dated 22nd January 2026 was passed, they were not added as parties nor afforded an opportunity of hearing.

4. The order dated 22nd January 2026 is stayed in respect of tenancy rights in Flat No. 12A, Nariman Building, Nariman Point and Flat No. 501 at Cupid Apartments, Santacruz.

5. Post the matters on 24th June 2026.

[GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.]

[CHIEF JUSTICE]